

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	√O. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/517,412 12/03/2004		12/03/2004	Fridolin Babler	CO/2-22716/A/CGC 2122/PCT	4019		
324	7590	06/26/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
012.10.		CHEMICALS CO	SAEED, K	SAEED, KAMAL A			
	`DEPARTMI TE PLAINS		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
POBOX	2005		1626	1626			
TARRY	rown, ny	10591-9005		DATE MAILED: 06/26/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-			Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			10/517,412	E	BABLER ET AL.				
			Examiner		Art Unit				
		ŀ	Kamal A. Saeed		1626				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appea	ars on the cover sheet w	vith the cor	rrespondence ad	ldress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE IN Insions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this coming period for reply is specified above, the maximum is reto reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DAT s of 37 CFR 1.136(munication. tatutory period will y will, by statute, ca	E OF THIS COMMUN a). In no event, however, may a apply and will expire SIX (6) MO nuse the application to become A	IICATION. a reply be timely DNTHS from the ABANDONED	y filed e mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on .							
2a)□	, , ,		ction is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition	for allowance	e except for formal ma	itters, prose	ecution as to the	e merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.								
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.								
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or e	election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by th	ne Examiner.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: а)□ ассер	ted or b)□ objected to	by the Ex	aminer.				
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the dra	awing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 3	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Exar	niner. Note the attache	ed Office A	ction or form PT	「O-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a)[a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* 0	application from the internation See the attached detailed Office action	•	, ,,	nt received					
	see the attached detailed Office action	חווטו מ וואנ טו	the certified copies no	r received.	•				
Attachmen	(s)								
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview	Summarv (P	TO-413)				
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F		Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date	·	2.452)			
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date <u>03/03/2005</u> .	P10/SB/08)	6) Other:		ent Application (PTC	J- 10Z)			

Application/Control Number: 10/517,412

Art Unit: 1626

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-20 are currently pending in this application.

Information Disclosure Statement

Applicant's Information Disclosure Statements, filed on 03 March 2005 has been considered. Please refer to Applicant's copy of the 1449 submitted herewith.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,840,901 to Babler.

The process described in this application is directed to a method of preparing

quinacridone of Formula,

which comprises reaction of a

compound of Formula

wherein X and Y are as defined in

claim 1, with a hydrogen peroxide in the presence of a catalyst of Formula

Application/Control Number: 10/517,412

Art Unit: 1626

Babler et al teach a process of preparing quinacridone compounds using the same reagents. (See US Patent No. 5,840,901, columns 2-5).

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting, as being unpatentable over claim 1-30 of U.S. Patent No 5,840,901, since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both sets of claims are drawn to the same art recognized subject matter. A reference anticipating one set of claim will render the other obvious. US Patent No. 5,840,901 et al teach the process as described in this application. The sole difference between the claimed process and the current application is that the specific catalyst is recited or depicted in claim 1 of the present application. However, this catalyst is already mentioned as one of the preferred embodiments in the specification of US Patent No. 5,840,901 (See column 3, lines 50-62). Moreover, US Patent '901 teach about the presence of particle growth inhibitor which is one of the limitations recited in

Application/Control Number: 10/517,412

Art Unit: 1626

claim 13. The instant process is so closely related to the process claimed in US Patent '901 as to be obvious in the absence of any unexpected properties. One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to prepare the compound using the specific catalyst recited. The motivation to use specific catalyst derives from the expectation that structurally similar processes are generally expected to give similar products and have similar utilities. In re Gyurik, 596 F. 2d 1012, 201 USPQ 552 (CCPA 1979). Applicants should note that a generic teaching is grounds for obvious type double patenting rejection. In looking at the instantly claimed process as a whole, the claimed process would have been suggested to one skilled in the art unless unobvious or unexpected results can be shown.

Telephone Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kamal A Saeed, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-0705. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T 7:00 AM- 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Joseph K. McKane, can be reached at (571) 272-0699.

Communication via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signiture, may be used by applicant and should be addressed to [joseph.mckane@uspto.gov]. All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees will not communicate with applicant via Internet e-mail where sensitive data will be exchanged or where there exists a possibility that sensitive data could be identified unless there is of record an express waiver of the confidentiality requirements under 35 U.S.C. 122 by the

Application/Control Number: 10/517,412 Page 5

Art Unit: 1626

applicant. See the Interim Internet Usage Policy published by the Patent and Trademark Office Official Gazette on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or public PAIR only. For more information about the pair system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197.

KAMAL A. SAEED, PH.D.

al sneed